

# **PATENT APPLICATION**

## **ALIGNMENT of SOLAR CONCENTRATOR MICRO-MIRRORS**

23 pages.  
1 sheet of drawings.

Inventor: Mario Rabinowitz  
a U.S. citizen residing at  
715 Lakemead Way  
Redwood City, CA 94062

Status: Small Entity

## **ALIGNMENT of SOLAR CONCENTRATOR MICRO-MIRRORS**

### **INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE**

The following U.S. patents, allowed patent applications, and pending patent applications are fully incorporated herein by reference:

U. S. Patent #6,612,705 by Mark Davidson and Mario Rabinowitz, "Mini-Optics

Solar Energy Concentrator" issued on Sept. 2, 2003.

U.S. Publication #2003-0202235-A1 by Mario Rabinowitz and Mark Davidson,

"Dynamic Multi-Wavelength Switching Ensemble" allowed on Oct. 6, 2003.

U.S. Publication #2003-0192998 by Mark Davidson and Mario Rabinowitz,

"Solar

Propulsion Assist" allowed on Nov. 4, 2003.

U.S. Publication #2003-0193726-A1 by Mark Davidson and Mario Rabinowitz,

"Active Reflection Illumination and Projection" is pending.

### **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

This invention provides a low cost means for achieving affordable solar energy by greatly reducing the cost of solar concentrators which increase (concentrate) the density of solar energy incident on the solar energy converter. A limiting factor in the utilization of solar energy is the high cost of energy converters such as photovoltaic cells. For example, for the purpose of generating electricity, a large area of expensive solar cells may be replaced by a small area of high-grade photovoltaic solar cells operating in conjunction with inexpensive intelligent micro-optics of this invention. Thus the instant invention can contribute to the goal of achieving environmentally clean energy on a large enough scale to be competitive with conventional energy sources.

The rotatable elements of this inventions are balls and cylinders. As derived in U. S. Patent #6,612,705 of which the inventor of this instant invention is the co-inventor, balls in a square array have a packing fraction of 0.785 and 0.907 in an hexagonal array. Balls have an advantage over cylinders in that they can operate in either a single-axis or two-axis tracking mode. Cylinders have an advantage over balls in that they can have a packing fraction of nearly 1, but they are limited to a single-axis tracking mode.

The presence of rotatable mirrors in a solar concentrator presents either a dilemma or an opportunity with respect to the basic nature of the alignment implementation. Mirrors are normally made of a conductive metallic coating. In an applied electrostatic field,  $E$ , a dipole moment is induced in the metallic conducting material of the micro-mirrors because the charge distributes itself so as to produce a field free region inside the conductor. To internally cancel the applied field  $E$ , free electrons move to the end of each conducting mirror antiparallel to the direction of  $E$ , leaving positive charge at the end that is parallel to the direction of  $E$ . Another way to think of this in equilibrium is that a good conductor cannot long support a voltage difference across it without a current source. An induced electrostatic dipole in a conductor in an electrostatic field is somewhat analogous to an induced magnetic dipole in a pivoted ferromagnetic material in a magnetic field, which effect most people have experienced. When pivoted, a high aspect ratio (length to diameter ratio) ferromagnetic material rotates to align itself parallel to an external magntic field.

If alignment is attempted in a conventional manner such as is used in Gyrricon displays, the induced polarization electric dipole field presents a dilemma since it is perpendicular to the zeta potential produced dipole field

and the net vector is in neither direction. The "zeta potential," is the net surface and volume charge that lies within the shear slipping surface resulting from the motion of a body through a liquid. The zeta potential is an electrical potential that exists across the interface of all solids and liquids. It is also known as the electrokinetic potential. The zeta potential produces an electric dipole field when a sphere it is made from two dielectrically different hemispheres due to their interaction with the fluid surrounding it.

One way to eliminate or greatly diminish the effect of the zeta potential is to make the surface of both hemispheres out of the same material. This would be quite difficult for Gyricon displays because they require optically different surfaces e.g. black and white, or e.g. cyan, magenta, and yellow for color mixing. **In the instant invention, no problem arises by making both hemispheres out of the same transparent material to eliminate or minimize the zeta potential. In fact this presents an opportunity to both utilize the induced polarization electric dipole field and to have two mirror surfaces. With two mirror surfaces, an option presents itself to use the better surface as the surface that reflects the light, and furthermore to have a standby mirror in each element should one of the mirrors degrade. A permanent electret dipole can be sandwiched between the two induced dipole mirrors to further enhance the dipole field that interacts with the addressable alignment fields.**

The topic of the dipole interactions between balls seems not to have been discussed in the Gyricon patents and literature. A heuristic analysis shows that this is not a serious problem. The electric field strength of a dipole,  $E_d$  is proportional to  $1/r^3$ , where  $r$  is the radial distance from the center of the dipole. The energy in the field is proportional to  $(E_d)^2$ . Thus the energy of a dipole field varies as  $1/r^6$ . The force is proportional to the gradient of the field, and hence varies as  $1/r^7$ . With such a rapid fall off of the

dipole interaction force, it can generally be made very small compared to the force due to the applied field  $E$ , and to the frictional forces that are normally present. Therefore interaction of the dipole field forces between mirrored elements (balls or cylinders) can generally be made negligible.

The 1998 Gyricon U.S. Patent #5,717,515 of Sheridan, entitled "Canted Electric Fields for Addressing a Twisting Ball Display" is exclusively concerned with Displays. There appears to be no mention of any other application than directly viewed Displays, either specifically or by general statement. In this Sheridan patent, no mention is made of a mirror in the gyricon balls, nor is there any mention of specular reflection as would be obtained from a mirror. On the contrary, means are discussed to increase diffuse reflection from the balls so the Gyricon display may easily be observed from all angles. Certainly there is no anticipation of a solar concentrator application, mirrored illumination and projection, solar propulsion assist, or any other micro-mirror application. Furthermore there is no mention of coupling means to the balls other than by means of the zeta potential dipole, or an electret dipole both of which are parallel to the Gyricon axis of symmetry which in the case of black and white balls goes through the vertex of the black hemisphere, the center of the sphere, and the vertex of the white hemisphere. Also there is no mention of an induced polarization electric dipole in the balls. In their dielectric balls there is an inadvertent insignificant induced polarization electric dipole in the dielectric, but it is small compared with the induced polarization electric dipole of the instant invention. Furthermore, it is parallel to the Gyricon axis of symmetry, whereas in the instant invention the induced polarization electric dipole is perpendicular to the axis of symmetry. Thus the application of the same

electric field in the instant invention produces an entirely different orientation or alignment than in the Sheridan patent.

This Sheridan patent focuses on emodiments of "segmented electrodes" for Displays only, without mention of other applications, or that their invention may be applied more broadly. Yet, interestingly, some of the claims are quite general. Since claims should be a summary of the invention described in the specification, it appears that such broad claims are not warranted by the specification. Nor do such broad claims seem warranted in view of the prior 1981 Goodrich U.S. Patent 4,261,653, which is also quite specific, and differs considerably from the instant invention.

The instant invention differs substantially from that of Sheridan and from that of Goodrich in the use of: mirrored balls and cylinders; induced polarization electric dipoles in the mirrors with or without permanent dipoles in electrets; the dipole fields being perpendicular to the axis of symmetry (rather than parallel); the use of fragmented wire electrodes to provide greater transparency; and the combination of fragmented wire electrodes and partitioned electrodes to provide greater transparency of the active surface than in the Sheridan patent.

The instant invention is primarily concerned with method and apparatus for the alignment of solar concentrator micro-mirrors. However, it has broader applications wherever mirrors are used for focussing such as for solar propulsion assist, illumination and projection of light, optical switching, etc.

#### DEFINITIONS

"Bipolar" refers herein to either a magnetic assemblage with the two poles north and south, or an electric system with + and - charges separated as in an electret.

"Concentrator" as used herein in general is a micro-mirror system for focussing and reflecting light. In a solar energy context, it is that part of a solar Collector system that directs and concentrates solar radiation onto a solar Receiver.

"Concentration factor" is  $<$  or  $\sim$  of the ratio of the area of the concentrator to that of the area of the receiver. It is the factor such as 10x, 100x, etc. by which the solar flux is concentrated at the receiver relative to the ordinary solar flux.

"Dielectric" refers to an insulating material in which an electric field can be sustained with a minimum power dissipation. [Most transparent materials are dielectrics. However Indium/Tin Oxide (also called ITO in the literature) is a conductor that is also transparent.]

"Elastomer" is a material such as synthetic rubber or plastic, which at ordinary temperatures can be stretched substantially under low stress, and upon immediate release of the stress, will return with force to approximately its original length.

"Electret" refers to a solid dielectric possessing persistent electric polarization, by virtue of a long time constant for decay of charge separation.

"Focussing planar mirror" is a thin almost planar mirror constructed with stepped varying angles so as to have the optical properties of a much thicker concave (or convex) mirror. It can heuristically be thought of somewhat as the projection of thin equi-angular segments of small portions of a thick mirror upon a planar surface. It is a focusing planar reflecting surface much like a planar Fresnel lens is a focusing transmitting surface. If a shiny metal coating is placed on a Fresnel lens it can act as a Fresnel reflector.

"Packing fraction" herein refers to the fraction of an available area occupied by the collection (ensemble) of rotatable elements.

"Receiver" as used herein in general is a system for receiving reflected light. In a solar energy context, it receives concentrated solar radiation from the micro-mirror assembly for the conversion of solar energy into more conveniently usable energy such as electricity.

"Thermoplastic" refers to materials with a molecular structure that will soften when heated and harden when cooled. This includes materials such as vinyls, nylons, elastomers, fluorocarbons, polyethylenes, styrene, acrylics, cellulose, etc.

"Zeta potential," is the net surface and volume charge that lies within the shear slipping surface resulting from the motion of a body through a liquid. It is an electrical potential that exists across the interface of all solids and liquids. It is also known as the electrokinetic potential. The zeta potential produces an electric dipole moment (field) of a spherical body when it is made from two dielectrically different hemispheres due to the interaction of the sphere with the fluid that it is immersed in.

### **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

There are many objects, aspects, and applications of this invention. Broadly this invention deals with the general concept of method and apparatus for focussing light by using mirrors. A particularly important object is the alignment of micro-mirrors for the focussing of sunlight in power conversion and production. Accordingly, other aspects and advantages are given below.

A particularly important aspect is to provide a unique tracking and focussing system for solar power conversion.

Another aspect is to provide the options of single-axis tracking or two-axis tracking by the concentrator micro-mirrors for different applications.



Another aspect is to provide a rugged system for conversion of solar energy to heat.

Another aspect is to provide electricity for both mobile and stationary communications systems.

Another aspect is to provide large-scale environmentally clean energy.

Another aspect is to help in the industrialization of developing countries.

Another aspect is to provide a low-cost, tough, light-weight, concentrated efficient solar energy converter that is highly portable.

Another aspect is to provide a miniaturized quasi-planar heliostat field configuration that can track the sun.

Another aspect is to provide a portable system that can easily go anywhere man can go, to track and concentrate the sun's energy.

Other aspects, objects and advantages of the invention will be apparent in a description of specific embodiments thereof, given by way of example only, to enable one skilled in the art to readily practice the invention as described hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings. In accordance with the illustrated preferred embodiments, method and apparatus are presented that are capable of producing alignment and mirror reflection of a source of light such as sunlight.

#### **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

Fig. 1a is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element with an electret dipole sandwiched between induced dipole micro-mirrors aligned parallel to partitioned electrodes where an ensemble of such elements are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator.

Fig. 1b is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element with an electret dipole sandwiched between induced dipole micro-mirrors aligned

perpendicular to partitioned electrodes where an ensemble of such elements are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator.

Fig. 1c is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element with an electret dipole sandwiched between induced dipole micro-mirrors aligned at a tilted angle with respect to partitioned electrodes where an ensemble of such elements are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator.

Fig. 1d is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element that does not use an electret, showing an induced dipole micro-mirror in its only unstable position of being perpendicular to the applied field. From this unstable position it will rotate to an alignment in which the plane of the mirror is aligned in the direction of the applied field. An ensemble of such elements are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator.

Fig. 2a represents a top view of an array of partitioned highly resistive electrodes showing in detail a top view of one such electrode and the voltages at its four corners.

Fig. 2b represents a bottom view of an array of partitioned highly resistive electrodes showing in detail a view of a bottom electrode and the voltages at its four corners.

Fig. 3a represents a top view of an array of fragmented highly resistive wire electrodes showing in detail a top view of a set of four such adjacent electrodes and the voltages at their ends.

Fig. 3b represents a bottom view of an array of fragmented highly resistive wire electrodes showing in detail a bottom view of a set of four such adjacent electrodes and the voltages at their ends.

Fig. 4 is a cross-sectional view of an ensemble of  $n$  micro-mirrored elements between the electrodes of one grid element  $n$  times longer than in the previous Figs. 1, 2, and 3.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PRESENTLY PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

Fig. 1a is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element 1 with an electret dipole 5 sandwiched between micro-mirrors 2 aligned parallel to top partitioned highly resistive electrode 5t and bottom partitioned highly resistive electrode 5b, where an ensemble of such elements and electrodes are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator. The inventor of this instant invention is the co-inventor of U. S. Patent #6,612,705, in which the micro-optics concentrator is described in detail. The micro-mirrors 2 are shiny circular flat conducting metal close to the equatorial plane of the elements 1. The partitioned electrodes and other types of electrodes are discussed in conjunction with Figs. 2a, 2b, 3a, and 3b. A micro-processor sends signals via bus bars to establish voltages from a power supply to each partitioned electrode which is made of a highly resistive thin transparent conductor such as an alloy of indium tin oxide (ITO). For top partitioned electrode 5t, the left corner is at voltage V1 and the right corner is at voltage V2. For bottom partitioned electrode 5b, the left corner is at voltage V3 and the right corner is at voltage V4. A signal sets the voltages so that  $V3 = V1$ ,  $V4 = V2$ ,  $V2 < V1$ , and  $V4 < V3$ , to produce an approximately uniform applied electric field E parallel to the electrodes 5t and 5b as shown.

The applied electric field E induces a dipole moment in the metallic conducting material of the micro-mirrors 2. This is because when a metallic conductor is placed in an electric field, the charge distributes itself so as to produce a field free region inside the conductor. To internally cancel the applied field E, negative - free electrons move to the end of each conducting micro-mirror 2 opposite to the direction of E, leaving positive + charge at the end in the direction of E. The torque interaction of the induced electric dipole

moment of the micro-mirrors 2 and the electric field  $E$  acts to align the micro-mirrors 2 parallel to the electric field  $E$  as shown here in Fig. 1. The torque is proportional to the product of  $E$  and the dipole moment.

An electret 5 is used to augment the torque. In equilibrium i.e. when the rotation is complete, the polarization due to the electret 5 and that due to the induced charges of the conducting micro-mirrors 2 add together to produce a total dipole moment  $d$  parallel to the micro-mirrors 2, and parallel to the applied electric field  $E$ . The positive + end 4 and the negative - end 3 of the electret 5 align themselves parallel to the electric field  $E$  due to the torque interaction of the permanent electric dipole moment of the electret 5 and the applied electric field  $E$ , which is proportional to their product. Since the electret 5 and its dipole moment are parallel to the micro-mirrors 2, this torque interaction aligns the micro-mirrors 2 parallel to the applied electric field  $E$ .

The presence of the electret 5, enhances the torque. In the absence of the electret 5, the dipole moment induced in the micro-mirrors 2 can be sufficient to produce alignment. However, the additional torque provided by the electret 5 helps to overcome frictional effects. In this embodiment, the hemispheres 6 are made of the same transparent material which minimizes the effect of the zeta potential which has been previously discussed.

In operating by means of an induced polarization dipole field, the instant invention operates by a substantially different mechanism than in Gyricon displays. The instant invention also differs substantially from Gyricon displays in terms of the axis of symmetry of the elements 1, i.e. the balls or cylinders. In Gyricon displays, the axis of symmetry of their balls and cylinders is parallel to the applied electric field since the zeta potential dipole is parallel to the applied electric field. In the instant invention, the axis of

symmetry of the elements 1 is perpendicular to the applied electric field because the micro-mirrors 2 and hence the induced polarization dipole field is perpendicular to the axis of symmetry. It should be noted that here in Fig. 1, the electric field  $E$  orientation here is at right angles to that which is used in Gyricon displays. Here  $E$  is parallel to the equatorial plane of the balls and the top surface  $5t$  which admits light. In Gyricon displays,  $E$  is perpendicular to the Gyricon ball equatorial plane and to the top surface-- the viewing surface which admits light.

Fig. 1b is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element 1 with an electret dipole 3 sandwiched between induced dipole micro-mirrors 2 aligned perpendicular to top partitioned highly resistive electrode  $5t$  and bottom partitioned highly resistive electrode  $5b$ , where an ensemble of such elements and electrodes are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator. For top partitioned electrode  $5t$ , the left corner is at voltage  $V1$  and the right corner is at voltage  $V2$ . For bottom partitioned electrode  $5b$ , the left corner is at voltage  $V3$  and the right corner is at voltage  $V4$ . A signal sets the voltages so that  $V2 = V1$ ,  $V4 = V3$ ,  $V1 < V3$ , and  $V2 < V4$ , an approximately uniform applied electric field  $E$  is produced perpendicular to the electrodes  $5t$  and  $5b$  as shown. The micro-mirrors 2 align themselves parallel to the applied electric field  $E$  due to the induced dipole field polarization of the mirrors, and permanent dipole of the electret 5.

Fig. 1c is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element 1 with an electret dipole 5 sandwiched between induced dipole micro-mirrors 2 aligned at a tilted angle with respect to top partitioned highly resistive electrode  $5t$  and bottom partitioned highly resistive electrode  $5b$ , where an ensemble of such elements and electrodes are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator. For top partitioned electrode  $5t$ , the left corner is at voltage  $V1$ .

and the right corner is at voltage  $V_2$ . For bottom partitioned electrode 5b, the left corner is at voltage  $V_3$  and the right corner is at voltage  $V_4$ . A signal sets the voltages so that  $V_1 < V_2$ ,  $V_3 < V_4$ ,  $V_1 < V_3$ , and  $V_2 < V_4$ , an approximately uniform applied electric field  $E$  is produced that is tilted with respect to the electrodes 5t and 5b as shown. The micro-mirrors 2 align themselves parallel to the electric field  $E$  due to the induced dipole field polarization of the mirrors, and permanent dipole of the electret 5. For balls, two-axis tracking is possible by additional alignment of the micro-mirrors 2 out of the plane of the paper. This is accomplished by similar voltage relationships to those already described as can be understood from the top and bottom electrode views shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Cylinders would be restricted to single-axis tracking. The chosen alignment angle i.e. tilt angle of the rotatable elements 1 can be held in place by the containment sheets upon which the electrodes 5t and 5b are located. Thus during the interval between alignments, the alignment voltages may be switched off to conserve power. As described in U. S. Patent #6,612,705 (of which the present inventor is a co-inventor), a plenum can be used to slightly force the containment sheets apart, as well as other means when a new alignment is desired.

Fig. 1d is a cross-sectional view of a rotatable element 1 showing an induced dipole micro-mirror 2 which relies solely on the induced polarization dipole field to produce alignment because the electret 5 is not used in this embodiment. The micro-mirror 2 can be either one-sided or preferably two-sided so that the micro-optics concentrator can have either side up, or rotate the micro-mirror 2 a full 360 degrees if desired. The angular orientations possible are similarly achieved as in Figs. 1a, 1b, and 1c and so are not shown since these figures can be easily visualized without an electret 5. As shown here in Fig. 4, with  $V_2 = V_1$ ,  $V_4 = V_3$ ,  $V_1 < V_3$ , and  $V_2 < V_4$ , an

approximately uniform electric field  $E$  is produced perpendicular to the electrodes 5t and 5b as shown. What is shown here in Fig. 4 is the only unstable position of the mirror 2 perpendicular to the applied field  $E$ . From this unstable position the mirror 2 will rotate to an alignment in which the mirror is parallel to any direction of the applied field. An ensemble of such elements are a major constituent of a micro-optics concentrator.

Examples of materials that are appropriate transparent dielectrics for making the elements 1 are: glass, polycarbonate, acrylic polymers made from acrylic derivatives such as acrylic acid, methacrylic acid, ethyl acrylate, methyl acrylate (some trade names are lexan, lucite, plexiglass, etc.). Electrets may be made from teflon, castor wax, caruba wax, and other materials. A conducting, but highly resistive material like Indium/Tin Oxide (ITO) can be sputtered on the sheets that contain the elements 1 to form the addressing electrodes. The optical transparency of ITO makes it ideally suited for addressing the balls.

Let us now look at various possible embodiments of the instant invention for the addressing electrodes. The different configurations shown, and combinations of them can operate to align the elements 1 and track the sun, or other light source in a non-solar application.

Fig. 2a represents a top view of an array of partitioned highly resistive electrodes with grid spacing  $L$  showing in detail a top view of one such electrode 5t and the voltages at its four corners. Voltages  $V1$  and  $V2$  correspond to voltages  $V1$  and  $V2$  shown in the cross sectional Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d. Voltages  $V1'$  and  $V2'$  are the voltages at the corners of this top electrode 5t below the plane of the paper. Each partitioned electrode is made of a highly resistive thin transparent conductor such as an alloy of indium tin oxide (ITO).

Fig. 2b represents a bottom view of an array of partitioned highly resistive electrodes with grid spacing  $L$  showing in detail a view of a bottom electrode 5b

and the voltages at its four corners. Voltages  $V_3$  and  $V_4$  correspond to voltages  $V_3$  and  $V_4$  shown in the cross sectional Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d. Voltages  $V_3'$  and  $V_4'$  are the voltages at the corners of this bottom electrode 5b below the plane of the paper.

Fig. 3a represents a top view of an array of fragmented highly resistive wire electrodes with grid spacing  $L$  showing in detail a top view of a set of four such adjacent electrodes and the voltages at their ends. At the top, wire electrode 6t has voltages  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  at its ends which correspond to voltages  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  shown in the cross sectional Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d. Wire electrode 6pt is a wire perpendicular to the plane of the paper with voltages  $V_1$  and  $V_1'$  at its ends. Wire electrode 6vt is a vertical wire with respect to the plane of the paper with voltages  $V_2$  and  $V_2'$  at its ends. Wire electrode 6ut has voltages  $V_1'$  and  $V_2'$  at its ends, and is under the top electrode 6t. Each fragmented wire electrode is made of a highly resistive thin transparent conductor such as an alloy of indium tin oxide (ITO).

Fig. 3b represents a bottom view of an array of fragmented highly resistive wire electrodes with grid spacing  $L$  showing in detail a bottom view of a set of four such adjacent electrodes and the voltages at their ends. At the bottom, wire electrode 6b has voltages  $V_3$  and  $V_4$  at its ends which correspond to voltages  $V_3$  and  $V_4$  shown in the cross sectional Figs. 1a, 1b, 1c, and 1d. Wire electrode 6pb is a wire perpendicular to the plane of the paper with voltages  $V_3$  and  $V_3'$  at its ends. Wire electrode 6vb is a vertical wire with respect to the plane of the paper with voltages  $V_4$  and  $V_4'$  at its ends. Wire electrode 6ub has voltages  $V_4$  and  $V_4'$  at its ends, and is under electrode 6b.

## OPERATIONAL MODES

Let us consider various combinations of the electrodes and their advantages and disadvantages. The partitioned electrodes 5t and 5b of Figs. 2a



and 2b may be operated as a pair. The advantage of doing this is that the most approximately uniform electric fields may thus be created here with only a negligible amount of fringing fields at the edges. A disadvantage of this configuration is that it has the smallest optical transparency since the incident light must be transmitted and reflected through each partitioned electrode of, for example, transparent ITO.

Operating the fragmented wire electrodes of Figs. 3a and 3b as a pair has the advantage of providing the greatest transparency since the wire electrodes have a small cross section with most of the light passing between them. Thus a larger percentage of the incident light will be reflected to the receiver. A disadvantage of this configuration is that it produces the least uniform electric fields. Yet because of the symmetry the components of the field that diverge from uniformity cancel, and a main component remains to align the elements (balls and cylinders) in the same direction as would be provided by the corresponding uniform electric field that partitioned electrodes would produce. This configuration has the further advantage that when the top side becomes worn or soiled, this configuration can be turned over so the pristine bottom side can be used on top with a high transparency to the incident and reflected light. Both the two-mirror embodiment of Figs. 1a, 1b, and 1c, and the two-sided single mirror embodiment of Fig. 1d can be operated with either side up, as well as rotating the mirror(s) a full 360 degrees if needed.

The presently preferred configuration is the fragmented wire electrodes of Fig. 3a on top to receive the light, combined with the partitioned electrodes of Fig. 2b on the bottom so that the combination more closely approximates the desired uniform electric field. With the fragmented wire electrodes configuration on top, the same large percentage of the incident light will be

reflected to the receiver as for the configuration of fragmented wire electrodes on both top and bottom.

Fig. 4 is a cross-sectional view of an ensemble of  $n$  micro-mirrored elements 1 between the electrodes of one grid element 40 which is  $nL$ ,  $n$  times longer than the grid length  $L$  in the previous Figs. 1, 2, and 3. A grid length  $nL$  requires an approximately  $n$  times greater voltage,  $nV$ , to produce the same electric field. In order to accomplish this economically, one can use a pulsed voltage source, when the elements 1 need only be aligned intermittently. The elements 1 (balls and cylinders) may be individually oriented, or groups may be collectively aligned to simplify tracking and focussing. When groups are collectively oriented, as a group they may have a projected group concavity to aid in the focussing to the receiver. The number of mirrors per grid cell are a design variable. The voltages can be controlled by a small micro-processor (computer) with analog voltage outputs.

There is a trade-off between complexity of the grid, and size of the power supply and control system. One element per grid cell is the maximum complexity of the grid and control system, and presents the minimal requirement for the power supply. Unlike displays that require high resolution, groups of balls may be collectively oriented to simplify tracking and focussing. When groups are collectively oriented as a group they may have a projected group concavity to aid in the focussing to the collector. However alignment of large groups increases the size of the power supply since the applied electric field is proportional to the voltage/grid spacing. In order to affectively align 10,000 elements (balls or cylinders) with a grid spacing 100 times ( $100^2 = 10,000$ ) that of one element, a voltage,  $V$ , 100 times as large is needed as for the alignment of one element. The power is proportional to  $V^2$ . Such an increase in power would be formidable if a continuous duty power

supply were needed. However, only intermittent alignment of the elements is necessary in the tracking of the sun, so a pulsed or step function voltage source may be used. An intermittent use of large voltage is much less difficult to achieve than the same steady state voltage.

While the instant invention has been described with reference to presently preferred and other embodiments, the descriptions are illustrative of the invention and are not to be construed as limiting the invention. Thus, various modifications and applications may occur to those skilled in the art without departing from the true spirit and scope of the invention as summarized by the appended claims together with their full range of equivalents.